

EXHIBIT C

EVAN GREEBEL’S POST-ARREST STATEMENTS TO THE FBI ON DECEMBER 17, 2015¹

No.	Government’s Citation	EG’s Proposed Citation	Text
1.	N/A	Mr. Greebel’s proposed excerpt runs from approximately 7:23:07 to approximately 7:23:54, and from approximately 7:46:40 to approximately 7:46:53.	<p>Question (7:23:07): Yeah, and now I’m here. Absolutely.</p> <p>Answer: Any insight as to how I’m here?</p> <p>Question: As to that, I don’t know if I can divulge anything . . .</p> <p>Answer: Hence I’m a little surprised I’m here.</p> <p>Question: [Laughs.] Understandable. This is just a copy of the arrest warrant. It doesn’t really tell you much.</p> <p>. . . .</p> <p>Question (7:46:40): So you kind of know why you’re here, right?</p> <p>Answer: No.</p> <p>Question: Well, you’re being arrested for conspiracy to commit wire fraud that has to do with Retrophin and MSMB Capital.</p>
2.	The government’s excerpt begins at approximately 7:47:00.	Mr. Greebel’s proposed excerpt runs from approximately 7:47:00 to approximately 7:50:35.	<p>Question: How do you know Martin Shkreli?</p> <p>Answer: I was introduced to him circa Spring 2011.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> Okay.</p> <p>Answer: In connection with his desire to become an activist investor. And I had done a number of activist campaigns previously. Both attack and defense, primarily defense. And he wanted to become an activist investor.</p>

¹ Mr. Greebel’s proposed additions to complete the government’s proposed excerpts are in yellow highlighting. Corrections to the government’s transcription of the video are in red underline or strikethrough. We believe the excerpts shaded in red, on pages 17-18 and 30, should be excluded.

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Question: Okay. And for which company <u>was he--</u> did he want to become an activist investor for?</p> <p>Answer: Uh, <u>he--</u> I'm sorry, when you say... who did he want to attack?</p> <p>Question: Yeah-, <u>who--</u></p> <p>Answer: It was a <u>A</u> company called SeraCare.</p> <p>Question: Yeah <u>Okay.</u></p> <p>Answer: It was a public company and I met him late May 2011. Did an analysis of SeraCare, and then I was flying to a wedding in mid-June and he reached out to me. He wanted to start the campaign. And I worked on the flight to the wedding and then when I got there, to write a quote-unquote letter--</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> --to advise the board that he was interested in change in management, and that was the first time I represented MSMB.</p> <p>Question: <u>Okay.</u> So if I ... trying to get it in my head correctly, so he, because you had done this before, he found you.</p> <p>Answer: Correct.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Do you know how he found you--? Through the Internet?</p> <p>Answer: I do.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>--through the Internet?</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> No, no, no. I had a partner--</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> --who knew one of his colleagues.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p><u>Answer:</u> And they had had a social interaction and she said, yes, we have people who do this. And I didn't, when I first met him, I did not realize that he was the head of the fund. There were two people in the room, I didn't know who was who.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> So I merely pitched the way I would pitch, you know, present my beliefs to any potential client.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Who was the other person in the room? Do you remember?</p> <p>Answer: Kevin Mulleady, I believe.</p> <p>Question: Okay. <u>Okay.</u> So then you help him become an activist investor. Uh, that falls through with that company, right?</p> <p>Answer: Mm-mm [<i>Shakes head no</i>].</p> <p>Question: Okay. So can you tell me about that a little bit?</p> <p>Answer: Sure. So, we wrote the letter to SeraCare and it was sent in late June. Publicly filed with EDGAR, sec.gov, and within two weeks or so, they terminated management and they put the company up for auction.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: And, we, or MSMB participated in the auction, did not ultimately prevail. The company ultimately sold, but I don't remember who the acquirer was.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> Shortly thereafter, that was how I, first was...</p> <p>Question (7:49:54): Yeah. That's how you first met him. So how, so that's who <u>how</u> you first met him and became involved and you represented MSMB Capital? Or?</p> <p>Answer: I don't know it was just--</p> <p>Question: You don't know the <u>which</u> entity?</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Answer: It was a discrete engagement.</p> <p>Question (7:50:07): Okay.</p> <p>Answer: And when he did his filing, I don't know if we did a filing. I--we had to have done a filing. I don't recall. It would've--I don't--I had no knowledge of anything about this fund.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: So he said to me, right, I believe he filed a 13(d), which would imply he had five percent control ownership.</p> <p>Question: Mm hmm.</p> <p>Answer: But we don't have any ability to verify that.</p> <p>Question: Yeah.</p> <p>Answer: That's a self-reported filing, as are most filings.</p>
3.	The government's excerpt begins at approximately 7:52:10.	<i>Mr. Greebel does not have any corrections and does not propose any additions to this excerpt.</i>	<p>Question: Okay. And you were representing the fund and not Martin personally?</p> <p>Answer: I've never represented Martin personally.</p>
4.	<i>(This is a further proposed addition to the government's excerpt beginning at approximately 7:47:00.)</i>	Mr. Greebel's proposed excerpt runs from approximately 7:57:10 to approximately 7:58:57.	<p>Question (7:57:10): Okay. Alright. So after AMAG, it seems like you guys are doing quite a few deals. And the other company that I actually didn't know, the second, the one after AMAG.</p> <p>Answer: Myrexis.</p> <p>Question: Myrexis. What happened next in the timeline? What was the next deal or--?</p> <p>Answer: In late October, he said to me, "What do you know about raising money for private companies and making them public?" I said, "I'm a corporate lawyer. It's what I do for a living. What is your question? So you're a hedge fund guy. Like what is this idea." He said, "I have a private company I started. Its aim is to create treatment for Duchenne</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>muscular dystrophy.” He said, “I was inspired by one of my friends, colleagues,” I don’t even know who--</p> <p>Question: Mm-hmm.</p> <p>Answer: --“who had a friend’s son who died of this. And I think I want to spend more time with that company. I think I can do more good for the world with this company and creating treatments for this disease than I can do in my current role.” I said, “Sounds interesting. And I’m happy to talk to you about it.”</p> <p>Question: Alright, so in this time period, where you’re kind of helping him out, how much time are you spending, do you think--I mean, was this your biggest client or was it like, you know, once every few months, we’d talk, or is it--</p> <p>Answer: It--as is typical in my life, it would be brief compressed periods and then—</p> <p>Question: Mm-hmm.</p> <p>Answer: --gaps. That’s a normal--</p> <p>Question: Yeah.</p> <p>Answer: --deal flow for what I do for a living.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Okay. Makes sense. So he tells you--did he tell you the name of the company at the time, or--?</p> <p>Answer: I don’t remember.</p>
5.	The government’s excerpt begins at approximately 8:02:04.	Mr. Greebel’s proposed excerpt runs from approximately 8:02:04 to approximately 8:03:09.	<p>Question: So then why don’t--I guess the next step--what was the next step after that meeting?</p> <p>Answer: We get engaged by Retrophin for general corporate matters.</p> <p>Question: Okay. And Retrophin is obviously <u>is</u> still a private company.</p> <p>Answer: Mhhm-hmm.</p> <p>Question: Is it an LLC ---or---</p> <p>Answer: It’s an LLC.</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p><u>Question:</u> --or a partnership?</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> It's an LLC.</p> <p>Question: <u>It's an LLC, okay.</u> Did you help change it <u>from an--</u>into an LLC?</p> <p>Answer: No.</p> <p>Question: Did it start as a partnership or <u>--</u></p> <p>Answer: I was not present as<u>predecessor</u> counsel.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> To my understanding based on the documents, it was always an LLC.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> I didn't form it. I didn't create it. I didn't do its initial rounds of financing. I didn't do its initial cap table. I didn't do any of that stuff.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> I literally got all that given to me.</p> <p>Question (8:02:45): Okay. Alright. So now you're general counsel.</p> <p>Answer: No.</p> <p>Question: What is it called? I'm sorry.</p> <p>Answer: It--I'm a lawyer for--for the company.</p> <p>Question: For--</p> <p>Answer: I'm not--</p> <p>Question: You don't--</p> <p>Answer: I don't work for the company.</p> <p>Question: Okay. I was thinking outside general counsel, but--</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Answer: Nope.</p> <p>Question: Okay. So you're a--</p> <p>Answer: Nope.</p> <p>Question: --lawyer that works for your own company, but you're consulting for Retrophin?</p> <p>Answer: No.</p> <p>Question: What's your--</p> <p>Answer: No, no, no.</p> <p>Question: Okay. I guess--</p> <p>Answer: I'm--I'm--</p> <p>Question: --I'm confused.</p> <p>Answer: --I'm a partner with Katten Muchin.</p> <p>Question: Yes.</p> <p>Answer: Katten Muchin is engaged—</p> <p>Question: Engaged.</p> <p>Question: --by Retrophin.</p>
6.	The government's excerpt begins at approximately 8:09:26.	<i>Mr. Greebel has corrections to the government's transcript of this excerpt but does not propose any additions to it.</i>	<p>Question: Were you aware at the time that he<u>it</u> had a note attached to the shell? Thathe converted...--</p> <p>Answer: Yeah.Mhmm-hmm.</p> <p><u>Question: --when converted--</u></p> <p><u>Answer: Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p>Question: <u>Okay. Do Did</u> you have any understanding what the note would be used for? Or ...</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Answer: He told me the note was going to be used for quote-unquote I guess paying the employees. Paying people who were going to work for Retrophin for services they would be providing going forward.</p>
7.	The government's excerpt begins at approximately 8:11:40.	Mr. Greebel's proposed excerpt runs from approximately 8:10:24 to approximately 8:16:00	<p>Question (8:10:24): So now it's a public company. It's reverse merged. Desert Gateway is now Retrophin or, you know, yeah, so what's the next step that you're involved in?</p> <p>Answer: Probably the PIPE transaction.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Alright. And what's your involvement? Are you--you know, I'm just wondering if you're writing out the documents for the PIPE--</p> <p>Answer: Yeah.</p> <p>Question: Are you dealing with investors at all?</p> <p>Answer: No.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: Just their counsel.</p> <p>Question: Okay. How many people would you say did you deal with? I mean, their counsel?</p> <p>Answer: I don't recall.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Alright. So--</p> <p>Answer: One--there was one lead counsel.</p> <p>Question: Alright. How many PIPEs were there? Was there only one?</p> <p>Answer: There was two.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: There was one in August, also.</p> <p>Question: Alright. And you were--did you write the documents for both PIPEs?</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Answer: No, in a PIPE transaction, the investors write the documents and the company reviews them.</p> <p>Question: Okay. So you reviewed? Okay. Alright, so after the first PIPE, what's the next, the closing of the PIPE and then--what was your understanding the money was going to be used for, if you had an understanding?</p> <p>Answer: I had no understanding.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: For general working capital purposes.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Didn't have to do with the drugs?</p> <p>Answer: I--</p> <p>Question: I mean, I know that's general, but--</p> <p>Answer: General working capital purposes.</p> <p>Question (8:11:40): <u>Okay.</u> Alright, so the next step in your timeline.</p> <p>Answer: I think some investors started complaining about the valuation they received.</p> <p>Question: <u>Okay.</u> Uh, so <u>let's</u> just unpack that a little bit I guess. So the investors being, the investors in the PIPE?</p> <p>Answer: No.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> -Historie <u>No, historic</u> investors who were...</p> <p>Question: MSMB investors?</p> <p>Answer: No, no.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> I have no ---insight on that.</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: --insight on that.</p> <p>Question: I don't want to put any words in your mouth. What investors <u>were these</u>?</p> <p>Answer: Retrophin investors.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: Who were there ...</p> <p>Question: At the beginning, <u>or</u>?</p> <p>Answer: I don't know. --I have no elue <u>view</u> on that--</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: --but who were on the cap table.</p> <p>Question: Okay, Retrophin, correct me if I'm wrong.</p> <p>Answer: Mm-hmm.</p> <p>Question: So you get a cap table and you see Joe Smith has a thousand shares—a thousand units, or whatever it was. So now Joe Smith <u>is complaining</u>--or whatever I said his name was in the first place--is complaining that, <u>you know</u>, he thought his thing would be worth--or he thought he was getting this many shares and he was only getting this many shares--or he thought they were going to be worth \$100,000 and they're only worth \$50,000 so that, is that what you mean by they're complaining or, about the cap table basically, <u>they're thinking</u>--</p> <p>Answer: [Greebel shakes head yes]</p> <p>Question: And what is your role in these complaints, I guess? Or how does, does Martin rope you in?</p> <p>Answer: No.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p><u>Answer:</u> I'm told whatever their agreed resolution is.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> I don't negotiate anything. I'm just told5₂ this is their agreed resolution.</p> <p>Question: <u>Okay.</u> And was that, did-if₂ you know₂ was that cash or shares or...</p> <p>Answer: Different.</p> <p>Question: So this was, Retrophin's public now.</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u> So he, I'm just trying to understand without putting any of my words in your mouth. So, Martin comes to you and says Joe's complaining about his—the cap table and how he was compensated or you know, whatever it is. We've agreed that he's going to get this amount of money or this amount of shares. And then what is your role? Do you review the documents? Or—</p> <p>Answer: I worked with people to write them up.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Were these the settlement agreements?</p> <p>Answer: Mhmm-hmm.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Do you know approximately how many people complained? Or was it, <u>you know</u>, 100% of the investors or...</p> <p>Answer: No, <u>no</u>, it was a small, <u>very</u>...</p> <p>Question: One percent?</p> <p>Answer: It was a small fraction. That's, <u>which is</u> why the decision was made, <u>it was better</u> to settle with them than to fight with them.</p> <p>Question: <u>Okay.</u> Alright. So, Martin comes to you and says he'll settle for a thousand shares or something like that and then, does he bring the settlement agreement to you and say this is what I'm thinking, can you review it?</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Answer: No. He tells me the terms and tells me to write it up.</p> <p>Question: Okay. So, I'm just trying to think of terms that would be in, so, he would get paid this much over the quarters? Or is it a lump sum? Was it different in each case?</p> <p>Answer: I don't believe anyone had a protracted payment settlement, so lump sums. I don't recall, but I don't have them in front of me. You—you do.</p> <p>Question: I don't have them here. But, okay. So then, you go through these settlement agreements. You don't—the only thing you know about these people is that they are on the cap table.</p> <p>Answer: Mhmm-hmm.</p> <p>Question: That's the only thing<u>time</u> you know them from. Do you have an understanding of who they are now? I'm just trying to understand different—whether you knew something different then or—not. To this date, you just know them from the...</p> <p>Answer (8:15:13): They<u>These</u> were just people on the cap.</p> <p>Question: Do-Did you have any knowledge of the<u>that they were</u> MSMB investors?</p> <p>Answer: Not at the time.</p> <p>Question (8:15:19): Okay. Did you come—did there come a point where you did know?</p> <p>Answer: I--well after. I don't know--</p> <p>Question: I mean, even yesterday.</p> <p>Answer: At some point.</p> <p>Question: You can't--yeah, at some point at--in--okay. So, at the time of the settlement agreements, you--they were just people on the cap table. At some point, much later, you had an understanding that they were MSMB investors?</p> <p>Answer: No, that--</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: --that they were also.</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Question: Okay. Yeah, yeah, no, I understand. Okay.</p> <p>Answer: Not, not--</p> <p>Question: I meant to say that, but thank you for the clarification. So not only are they investors in Retrophin, but they were also investors, so there was a relationship prior with MSMB.</p> <p>Answer: Not my relationship. They--</p> <p>Question: No, no, no.</p> <p>Answer: --they had a relationship.</p> <p>Question: They--yes. I'm sorry.</p> <p>Answer: Yes.</p>
8.	The government's excerpt begins at approximately 8:17:50.	Mr. Greebel's proposed excerpt runs from approximately 8:16:40 to approximately 8:18:08.	<p>Question (8:16:40): Did you help with the Q's and the K's or--?</p> <p>Answer: Yes, but I don't believe any--I don't know when the first Q was even filed.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Meaning it was like, late or--?</p> <p>Answer: Oh yeah.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Alright. And then, for the Q's and the K's, I'm just trying to understand your-- how much you were involved. For the first one, you said it was late. Was there a CFO at the time?</p> <p>Answer: There was not a CFO until the late spring.</p> <p>Question: Okay. So were you getting the numbers? Were you simply reviewing? Were you inputting?</p> <p>Answer: I didn't do anything like that.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: The numbers were given to us.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Answer: And a document was filed. We don't do numbers.</p> <p>Question: Yeah.</p> <p>Answer: We're not qualified to do numbers. We don't review numbers.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: We look at words.</p> <p>Question: Alright. So you--the footnotes, did you help with, or was that--I'm just trying to understand. Did you just read it to make sure nothing was missing?</p> <p>Answer: I would read it to make sure it made sense to--</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: --that was--that it was, you know, of course, the document that's--I don't know what notes were required to be disclosed. It's not [UNINTELLIGIBLE]--</p> <p>Question (8:17:50): <u>Sure.</u> Yeah. I mean it's more of an accountant's <u>aspect</u> ... I'm just trying to understand if you're wearing more than one hat or if you're, if, what your role is cause it's such a small company at the time.</p> <p>Answer: My role was not significant.</p> <p>Question: Okay. With the Q's and K's?</p> <p>Answer: I didn't have anything to do would say with anything, but with the Q's and K's.</p>
9.	The government's excerpt begins at approximately 8:21:25.	<i>Mr. Greebel has corrections to the government's transcript of this excerpt but does not propose any additions to it.</i>	<p>Question: No—and do you know who's on the board at this point?</p> <p>Answer: Steve Richardson, Steve Aselage, and Martin.</p> <p>Question: <u>Okay.</u> And for the board meetings do you take notes --as the outside counsel?</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>Yes.</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>--as the outside counsel?</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> [Greebel shakes his head yes]</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u> Alright so when does the 10-K get approved? Or the first kind of filing with substance?</p> <p>Answer: I don't know when the Q was done. The 10-K I believe in done in <u>—was filed in</u> September.</p> <p>Question: Was there a meeting before the K was filed to approve the K?</p> <p>Answer: There was—there was a meeting.</p> <p>Question: A board meeting?</p> <p>Answer: A board meeting first to review settlement agreements that we talked about because <u>--</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Yes.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>--</u> Marcum felt for GAAP purposes that they should not be a Retrophin liability.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> That was a GAAP interpretation</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Alright.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>and-And</u> so—what Marcum recommended and the board approved was that MSMB indemnify the company for the value of those settlement agreements.</p>
10.	<i>(The government did not provide a citation for this excerpt, which runs from approximately 8:39:31 to approximately 8:40:00.)</i>	<i>Mr. Greebel has corrections to the government's transcript of this excerpt but does not propose any additions to it.</i>	<p>Question: And then the outside counsel—or the general counsel, I'm sorry. Do you remember who that was?</p> <p>Answer: Meg. S something. <u>I don't—</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Yeah.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> —She's still there I don't know her name.</p> <p>Question: <u>Yeah.</u> Okay. Did you ever meet her?</p> <p>Answer: No.</p> <p>Question: Just on the phone or...</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Answer: Yeah.</p> <p>Question: <u>Okay.</u> She's hired in late June?</p> <p>Answer: I don't know. They never <u>did any</u>—</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> I was never told she was even hired. She was a consultant.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> So I—it</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Yeah. Right.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>It</u> was never disclosed, so I have no idea of what's going on. What her role is, or is not.</p>
11.	The government's excerpt begins at approximately 8:41:55.	<i>Mr. Greebel has corrections to the government's transcript of this excerpt but does not propose any additions to it.</i>	<p>Question (8:42:00<u>8:41:55</u>): Alright so we're in September. Martin—can you just walk me through what happened with Martin? Or how you came to know, <u>or--</u>?</p> <p>Answer: I don't know if he called me, if she called one of my colleagues or—I don't remember how she, we--she being the general counsel. ---</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> --I don't remember how we learned about it, ---</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> --but we learned that the board had decided to terminate him.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> It was not clear to us who—who was the proper person in that context to be making that <u>the</u> decision.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Yes.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> He said he wants to stay at the company. He's still a board member. And he, you know, asks us to look at ways to change the board composition.</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p><u>Question:</u> Mm-hmm.</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> He ultimately determined not to go forward with that. And then, I'm pretty much out of the picture at that point.</p> <p>Question: Okay. So he was put on leave, right?</p> <p>Answer: I have<u>had</u> nothing to do with this. I only know what I read in the papers and in the filings.</p> <p>Question: So when do you stop representing Retrophin?</p> <p>Answer: We get a notice of suspension—like, two weeks later.</p>
12.	The government's excerpt begins at approximately 8:43:26.	<i>Mr. Greebel has corrections to the government's transcript of this excerpt but does not propose any additions to it.</i>	<p>Question: So were you involved with Martin's employment agreement, being...</p> <p>Answer: Only on behalf of the company. The company asked me to prepare the conversations between him and Steve Richardson, who acted as the board spokesman.</p> <p>Question: So late in the game---. <u>I mean--</u></p> <p>Answer: I—I <u>don't</u>—I don't know. I don't know when—</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>I'm just trying to—</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> I was given terms. I don't know—<u>the terms</u>—I was just given terms and then asked to circulate to the board, which is what I did—I circulated to the board via email deliberately so they could have their own time on it and engage me without Martin.</p> <p>Question: When are we talking about here?</p> <p>Answer: November—October-November—late October, early November, 2013. Before the uplisting.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Is that when Martin's employment agreement is drafted?</p> <p>Answer: Mhmm-hmm.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Answer: There may have been a prior one in place before me, which I think it was cloned against.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> I think the basic agreement between them was take the old one, update the comp terms.</p> <p>Question: Okay. So you were involved basically to just update—you were given a template and you updated it for Martin's employment—</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> I'm just asking because <u>it's</u>—I don't know if you remember but—I think he could only be fired if he was convicted of a felony.</p> <p>Answer: Mhmm-hmm.</p> <p>Question: Is that normal?</p> <p>Answer: I have no view on that.</p> <p>Question: Okay. <u>I didn't</u>--I just—I didn't <u>I don't</u> know how often you worked with employment contracts but...</p> <p>Answer: I have no clue—I don't, but I think they run the gamut.</p>
13.	The government's excerpt begins at approximately 8:46:22.	<i>Mr. Greebel objects to the admission of this excerpt. If it is admitted, Mr. Greebel's proposed excerpt runs from approximately 8:46:16 to approximately 8:46:38.</i>	<p><u>Question (8:46:16): So, did you and Martin have a personal relationship at all?</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>No.</u></p> <p>Question: Okay. And then, so—October 14th, you're kind of done. When—do you continue to talk to Martin sporadically because I know you guys had a relationship on different deals for different companies before. Or is he...</p> <p>Answer: Again, I represent companies. I don't represent...</p>
14.	The government's excerpt begins at approximately 8:49:00.	Mr. Greebel's proposed excerpt runs from approximately 8:48:48 to approximately 8:52:11.	<p><u>Question (8:48:48): So what--in the timeline, what my understanding is, is that the consulting agreements come a little bit later than the--</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>That's not correct.</u></p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Question: --settlement agreements. Not true?</p> <p>Answer: Not true.</p> <p>Question (8:49:00): Okay, so can you just tell me about the settlement consulting agreements.</p> <p>Answer: Sure.</p> <p>Question: When they first start?</p> <p>Answer: Sure.</p> <p>Question: Yes.</p> <p>Answer: So Retrophin when it goes public has virtually no money. Literally \$10,000, literally no money.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: Martin needs help, so Martin decides to hire people via consulting agreements—</p> <p>Question: Mm-hmm.</p> <p>Answer: ---who can be helpful to help the company grow. So we start preparing consulting agreements--for these people.</p> <p>Question (8:49:31): Okay.</p> <p>Answer: --for these people.</p> <p>Question: And this is right when Retrophin starts?</p> <p>Answer: Yes.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Just trying to keep the time—</p> <p>Answer: Not when it starts-, right when it--</p> <p>Question: I mean, when it goes public.</p> <p>Answer: Exactly.</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Question: Sorryjust trying to keep....</p> <p>Answer: So, some of these people had also been employed by MSMB--previously.</p> <p>Question: <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> --previously.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> Do <u>Could you--do</u> you know any names?</p> <p>Answer: Andy Vaino, for example.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Yeah.</p> <p>Answer: Was at MSMB. And they were--there was a sensitivity that they had—<u>and</u> at this point, MSMB was one of the largest stockholders of Retrophin.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> And there was a concern that they would have some of the claims against any of these parties. So as would be customary in that context, you get a release in the agreement, so they're not getting paid by Brother A and suing Brother B simultaneously.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> It's not an uncommon concept.</p> <p>Question: <u>Okay.</u> Alright, just to explain that to me. This is one of those things that—I know you said <u>that</u> you take it for granted that I know a lot of things—</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> --but—so, let's just take Andy Vaino for example, or anyone <u>anybody</u> that works for MSMB. So we bring him over as a consultant to kind of save on cash, <u>I'm guessing—</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> --because you said they have no cash,--</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p><u>Question:</u> --so pay him in shares-, right?</p> <p>Answer: A combination of cash and stock.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> Okay.</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> He was an employee. He was not full time; he was--part time.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> Okay.</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> --part time.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> And then—I'm just so, explain to me again about <u>suing--</u>Brother A suing Brother B. So Brother A would be MSMB and Brother B would be Retrophin but—</p> <p>Answer: —The concern would be—</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>So Brother A would be MSMB and Brother B would be Retrophin, but just Just</u> trying to understand—</p> <p>Answer: —The concern would be if they historically have a relationship with MSMB--</p> <p><u>Question:</u> Okay.</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> --that they-woul'd have some claims for what—</p> <p><u>Question:</u> For what—</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> --that I don't even know about. That there was some historical claim that I'm not even aware of.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> So—I'm just trying to give an example that...</p> <p>Answer: Not paid full salary.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> At MSMB...</p> <p>Answer: Mhhm-hmm.</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Question: And then they come here and they say you owe me for my time there. Okay. I understand. Okay. <u>Alright.</u> So those consulting agreements start pretty much when Retrophin goes public.</p> <p>Answer: <u>Yeah.</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>And--</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> And the board provides Martin with plenary authority to hire employees and consultants other than executive officers, which required<u>d</u> board approval.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> A standard thing to do for a CEO of<u>with</u> a company. Giving them authority to the—hire the people. It's not--<u>uncommon.</u></p> <p>Question: <u>Yeah.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>--uncommon.</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u> So the board gave authority to the CEO,<u>--</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>--Martin Shkreli, to hire consultants. Was there any sort of cap on the number of shares</u> <u>or or</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>No.</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>--money or anything like that?</u></p> <p>Answer: No. Just not executive officers, whatever that <u>would</u>—would imagine in the<u>and that is a-</u> <u>=</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>So no--</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>--broad context.</u></p> <p>Question (8:52:09): --CFO would have to be approved.</p> <p>Answer: Exactly.</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
15.	The government's excerpt begins at approximately 9:00:17.	Mr. Greebel's proposed excerpts run from approximately 9:00:17 approximately 9:06:11, and from approximately 9:06:58 to approximately 9:08:39.	<p>Question: Are there any consulting agreements that stand out to you?</p> <p>Answer: Oh sure. There's a whole litany that stand out.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> Because we would be dealing with people from well-known college professors to top medical professionals--</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> --to you know—people that were known to Martin and in that—and in cases... In <u>So in</u> two particular cases.--actually that's not true. In at least three to four particular cases, I said I wanted board consent for them.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> Because I thought given the situation, I thought it was important for the board to review them, because I thought they were personal contacts, not necessarily professional contacts.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Do you remember any of the people who <u>that</u> were personal contacts?</p> <p>Answer: Sure. Al Geller and Ken Banta <u>Banta</u>—</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> --are the first who come to mind.</p> <p>Question: And were they...</p> <p>Answer: And Darren Blanton also-, <u>and I--</u></p> <p>Question: <u>Alright.</u> And when you say personal--, was it like... I'm just wondering <u>wondering</u>—</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> --the extent of their relationship. Was it, <u>you know,</u> friends of his, or <u>was it</u> ...</p> <p>Answer: I have no view. I don't know who his friends are or are not.</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> I just got the sense from them that there was—that they <u>would--they</u> had prior relationships with him.</p> <p>Question: Okay. <u>Yeah.</u> So it wasn't just a professor they were calling...</p> <p>Answer: Exactly.</p> <p>Question (9:01:39): <u>Okay.</u> So a referral from Martin or an introduction at least <u>or</u>...</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>What do you mean by that?</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> I'm just wondering—I'm trying to put the two people you listed into a separate box <u>bucket from than</u> the professors maybe that—oh it's a great college.</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>Uh-huh</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> He works on these drugs... let's see if he'll be interested in consulting for us.</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>Uh-huh.</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> --Whereas there's some people you said you felt, you know, a little differently about. Where That these are acquaintances of Martin from prior, --</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> --not just some guy who has --an Internet page on something.</p> <p>Answer: <u>Mm-hmm.</u> Yes.</p> <p>Question: --an internet page on something. Alright. So those people <u>that</u> you mentioned. You brought their consulting agreements to the board?</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> And they were approved by the board?</p> <p>Answer: <u>Mm-hmm.</u> [<i>Shakes his head yes</i>]</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Question: <u>Okay.</u> Did you have any understanding, I mean, I think we just went through this and you said no, but do you have any understanding of their relationship prior with any of those three or with any of the—</p> <p>Answer: —So Al was an investor—<u>well, no,</u> Al was on the cap table. I don't know what his prior relationship was or was not.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> Because I'd never heard that from Martin and I don't recall if I'd ever had heard that anywhere else. I knew his brother had been an investor at multiple levels so I don't know if he was also.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> But again, that was why I said that required board approval.</p> <p>Question: Okay. and <u>And</u> then, so that's Al. So you mentioned Ken Banta.</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> Do you have an understanding of what his relationship was?</p> <p>Answer: Other than—similar to Al he'd been on the cap table. <u>He was--</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>He was--</u> a person I'd met previously at—I don't even remember even <u>know</u> where I <u>even</u> met him. Then <u>And, so then</u> I knew that the board members knew him, so I thought it was important to have it properly vetted.</p> <p>Question: <u>Okay.</u> So he knew the board members too?</p> <p>Answer: Mhmm-hmm.</p> <p>Question: <u>Okay.</u> And then a third person you mentioned...</p> <p>Answer: Darren Blanton.</p> <p>Question: <u>Yes.</u> Did you have an understanding of how Martin knew him?</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Answer: I don't have an understanding. I know they have a complicated history.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> I know that I previously stripped him of his shares.—T<u>t</u> that he was offered so—he was apparently the person who had been involved in the formation of Retrophin.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Okay.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> He had been allocated stock based on the records that I was given. He never signed the documentation to accept the stock.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> So I sent him a letter stripping him of those shares</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Yeah.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> w<u>Which</u> to me meant that he might<u>may</u> have had some valid claim against the company. So when the company was bringing him as a consultant, that <u>to me</u> was not uncommon—not, <u>not</u> uncommon or unwise—but I also thought it required board consent, which I told Martin repeatedly.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> He did not get it. He didn't need it, because he had the<u>this</u> plenary authority. But I <u>had</u> recommended on multiple occasions to get board consent.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Alright.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> And he was slated <u>to be discussed</u> at the board meeting, his <u>consulting</u> agreement had been circulated to the board so it<u>and</u> was slated at the board meeting to be discussed.</p> <p><u>Question (9:04:25):</u> <u>Alright. So I've never been to a board meeting.</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>Mm-hmm.</u></p> <p><u>Question:</u> <u>Can you kind of walk me through how things get approved?</u></p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <u>Sure.</u></p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Question: I don't know if it's like a formal vote, like the ayes have it?</p> <p>Answer: Yeah.</p> <p>Question: Or the--</p> <p>Answer: That's what it is.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Can you kind of--so just pick one of those people.</p> <p>Answer: Mm-hmm. So let's pick Geller and Banta.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: The consulting agreement was circulated to the board prior to approval.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: It was, you know, brought up at the board meeting. I asked if there are any comments or questions on the consulting agreement. There were. There were comments. They wanted substantive changes made to it.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: So that said they clearly had read the document. And they--then it's pending that it would make, you know, those changes being made, do you approve it. They all approved it.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Do you remember any substantive changes? Do you remember--</p> <p>Answer: Yes, they wanted to insert a maximum amount of time that they would be working for the company. So they would not necessarily be classified as employees.</p> <p>Question: Okay, so I'm trying to understand it. So a maximum amount of time being like, your--</p> <p>Answer: No more than--</p> <p>Question: --contract ends in three years?</p> <p>Answer: No, the contract terms meant no more than X percent of your time in a given amount of week—</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Question: Oh okay, I got you. Yeah, all right, so 10 percent of your time--</p> <p>Answer: Exactly.</p> <p>Question: --in a month, or whatever.</p> <p>Answer: Whatever it was. I don't know the numbers, but--</p> <p>Question: Yeah, okay.</p> <p>Answer: But yes.</p> <p>Question: All right, so they wouldn't be--</p> <p>Answer: And they also wanted them signing the company's inside-trading policy and code of ethics. I don't recall if that addition was made then or if the company got uplisted to NASDAQ. I know it was made at the board's request.</p> <p>Question: Mm-hmm.</p> <p>Answer: That was added to these consulting agreements.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: So the board clearly was aware, reviewing them, and approving them. And then, the board was also being given, on a quarterly basis, if not more frequent, if not--I believe, not by me, but by financial group, a schedule option in stock grants.</p> <p>....</p> <p>Question (9:06:58): So we mentioned three. Do you remember any other people that kind of stood out, that you had to--that you wanted to present to the board? And were the three at one meeting or was it--</p> <p>Answer: No.</p> <p>Question: --different meetings?</p> <p>Answer: Geller and Banta--Geller and Banta were at one meeting.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Answer: Blanton was not for a year.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: Yeah, probably a year or so later.</p> <p>Question: Alright. Do you remember--</p> <p>Answer: I'm sorry. Am I off in my cycle? I'm off in my cycle. Blanton was probably six to nine months later.</p> <p>Question: Where would that put us?</p> <p>Answer: Like, winter 2014 versus fall 2013.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Do you remember anybody else besides the three that we went over, that you kind of thought that I need--alright, not need, but I should get board approval, or maybe I need board approval?</p> <p>Answer: Frankly, we didn't need it for any of them.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: It's just recommended from a course of prudence to get it.</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: And no, because the other one, being Dr. Rosenfeld, I had known him unrelated to Martin, I knew what he was there to do he could do, like that's--</p> <p>Question: Mm-hmm.</p> <p>Answer: --what he does. He finds target opportunities, so I knew what he was being brought in to do was what he's capable of doing.</p> <p>Question: And you knew him prior?</p> <p>Answer: I had met him through one of my partners, unrelated to this--</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Answer: --in a totally different context.</p> <p>Question: Was it--did you suggest to Martin--or was it?</p> <p>Answer: No, I [UNINTELLIGIBLE]--</p> <p>Question: It's just--it was a coincidence?</p> <p>Answer: Oh yes. Totally, a coincidence.</p> <p>Question: Okay. Alright. Yeah, I just--</p> <p>Answer: No, no.</p> <p>Question: --it just seems, just a coincidence, okay.</p> <p>Answer: It was years before, like there was no timeline because it's--I--even before I knew Martin, I think I met him.</p> <p>Question: Yeah. Alright. Was he one of the people that had board approval?</p> <p>Answer: I don't believe so--</p> <p>Question: Okay.</p> <p>Answer: --but again, not required.</p>
16.	The government's excerpt begins at approximately 9:13:20.	<i>Mr. Greebel objects to the admission of this excerpt.</i>	<p>Question: Um, what about Marek Biestek?</p> <p>Answer: I had nominal interactions with him.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> Okay.</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> He was an employee of the company. He was the co-founder of the MSMB Capital. I never really had any direct interaction with him.</p>
17.	N/A	Mr. Greebel's proposed excerpt runs from approximately 9:13:35 to approximately 9:14:05	<p>Question (9:13:35): Alright. Anything else that you can think of during your time working with Martin Shkreli that--?</p> <p>Answer: Other than still confused why I'm here.</p>

No.	Government's Citation	EG's Proposed Citation	Text
			<p>Question: Yeah. I mean, nothing? I'm just asking you, you know, we kind of went over the consulting agreements and the settlement agreements. Is there anything else that kind of stands out to you? I don't know if it's Martin's trading? It sounds like, from what you've been telling me, you guys--he didn't really offer too much up to you.</p> <p>Answer: I didn't have that kind of--</p> <p>Question: Relationship. Did he--</p> <p>Answer: --interaction with him.</p>